| Ombudsman Ref Directorate/Service Date of final decision Decision | Summary of Final Decision | Actions and date(s) for completion | Date Actions Complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGSCO ref 22009413 <br> Place/Housing <br> Date of final decision -28/10/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries: out of jurisdiction | We cannot investigate issues relating to the Council's actions as landlord as such matters are outside our jurisdiction. | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22010459 <br> Place/Planning <br> Date of Final decision 15/11/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries - no further action | We will not investigate this complaint about how the Council dealt with a planning application. This is because the complainant has not suffered significant injustice | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22009856 <br> Place/Planning <br> Date of final decision 18/11/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries - no further action | We will not investigate this complaint the Council failed to properly consider a planning application. Although the Council was at fault, that did not cause the complainant a significant injustice. | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22000412 <br>  <br> Integration/Adults <br> Date of final decision 18/11/2022 <br> Decision - Upheld: Fault and injustice | Ms C complained the Council has failed to set up the agreed Direct Payment to pay her for five hours a week of support with regards to her father's care. We did not find fault with regards to the decision that a direct payment was no longer required to support Mr F with social outings, once he had gone into hospital. However, the Council failed to communicate clearly with Ms C about this. The Council has agreed to apologise for this. | By 21/12/2022 <br> 1. Apologise to Ms C and pay her $£ 200$ for the distress she experienced. <br> 2. The council accepted LGSCO recommendations. However, Ms C told the LGSCO she does not want the $£ 200$. | 06/12/2022 |


| Ombudsman Ref Directorate/Service Date of final decision Decision | Summary of Final Decision | Actions and date(s) for completion | Date Actions Complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGSCO ref 22000366 <br> Adult Social Care \& Integration/ <br> Adults <br> Date of Final decision - 21/11/2022 <br> Decision - Upheld: Fault and Injustice | The Council accepts there were delays in financial assessments and reviews of Ms K's care, errors in the transport provision, and a failure to carry out a carers' assessment. It has apologised and reinstated transport. It will reimburse Mr X's costs for the transport he provided and offer carers' assessments to Mr and Mrs X. There was no fault in the calculation of Ms K's contribution towards the cost of her care, however, and no reason why the Council should waive the outstanding contribution. | By 21/12/2022 <br> 1. Reimburse Mr X for the cost of the journeys undertaken <br> 2. Pay $£ 250$ in recognition of the time taken by Mr X to provide transport. <br> 3. Offer a carers assessment to both Mr and Mrs X. If there is a budgetary consequence, backdate the payment of that for one year. <br> 4. Pay $£ 250$ to Mr X for the time and trouble he was put to in making the complaint. | 13/01/2023 |
| LGSCO ref 22006869 Place/Waste and environment Date of final decision - 24/10/2022 Decision - Upheld: Fault and injustice | The complaint is upheld. The Council fully acknowledge that it has failed to collect Mr L's garden waste and provide a satisfactory service to him. This has caused Mr L frustration and uncertainty, as well as unnecessary time and trouble seeking a resolution. The Council has agreed to my recommendations to remedy the injustice Mr L has suffered. | By 23/11/2022 <br> 1. Provide a fresh apology to $\mathrm{Mr} L$ for the fault and injustice identified. <br> 2. Pay Mr L £100 to acknowledge the frustration and uncertainty he has suffered | 23/11/2022 |
| PHSO ref 22007335 <br>  <br> Integration/Adults <br> Date of Final Decision - 23/11/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries - no further action | Mr X complained about City of York Council (the Council) and York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (the Trust). Mr X complained about the way the Council and the Trust dealt with his late father, Mr Y's, discharge from hospital and the Trust's care of Mr Y while he was in hospital. We will not investigate the complaint because a coroner and a local Safeguarding Adults Board (SAB) are still investigating related issues. Mr X can resubmit his complaint to us for further consideration when the coroner and SAB have finished their investigations. | NA | NA |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LGSCO ref 22010898 } \\ & \text { Place/Parking } \\ & \text { Date of Final Decision - 23/11/2022 } \\ & \text { Decision - Closed after initial } \\ & \text { enquiries - out of jurisdiction } \end{aligned}$ | We will not investigate Mr X's complaint the Council issued a penalty charge notice for parking in a restricted area. Mr X can reasonably use his right of appeal to the Traffic Penalty Tribunal. | NA | NA |


| Ombudsman Ref Directorate/Service Date of final decision Decision | Summary of Final Decision | Actions and date(s) for completion | Date Actions Complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGSCO ref 22007505 <br> Place/Planning <br> Date of final decision - 30/11/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries- no further action. | We will not investigate this complaint about the Council granting planning permission and not taking enforcement action to address smoke and cooking odours from a food business near the complainant's home. Parts of the complaint are late with no good reason to investigate now, the key matter of smoke and cooking odours has been resolved by the business moving, and there is otherwise not enough evidence of Council fault or of it causing significant injustice, so an investigation is not warranted. | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22010219 <br>  <br> Integration/Adults <br> Date of final decision - 30/11/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries - no further action. | We will not investigate Mrs B's complaint about way the Council conducted a Best Interests Meeting for her son, Mr C , or the way it treated her. This is because further investigation could not add to the Council's response or make a different finding of the kind Mrs B wants. | NA | NA |
| ```LGSCO ref 22006945 Place/Parking Date of final decision - 08/12/2022 Decision - Not upheld: No Fault``` | Mr X complains the Council changed the parking permit scheme where he lives without proper consultation. We find no fault with the way the Council carried out changes to the scheme. | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22011310 Place/Highways Date of final decision - 14/12/2022 Decision - Closed after initial enquires: no further action | We will not investigate this complaint about an unsuccessful application for a dropped kerb. This is because there is insufficient evidence of fault by the Council. In addition, we could not achieve the outcome the complainant wants | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22011393 <br> Place/Highways <br> Date of final decision - 19/12/2022 <br> Decision - Closed after initial enquiries: out of jurisdiction | We will not investigate this complaint about how the Council has dealt with matters relating to the adoption of a road outside the complainant's property. This is because we have previously considered a complaint about the Council's claim that the road was adopted. Other matters raised by the complainant are either made late or have been subject to the commencement of court proceedings. | NA | NA |


| Ombudsman Ref Directorate/Service Date of final decision Decision | Summary of Final Decision | Actions and date(s) for completion | Date Actions Complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGSCO ref 22005428Adult Social Care \& Integration/Adults Date of final decision - 03/01/2023 Decision - Upheld: Fault and Injustice. | Mrs X complained about the care provided to her daughter by the Council, delay with setting up direct payments, and its safeguarding investigations. There was fault with the Council not following part of its safeguarding policy but there was no injustice as the risk had been removed. We found fault as the Council did not meet her daughter's needs consistently for a long period. It also delayed considering Mrs X's needs as a carer. These faults caused Mrs X significant distress. There was delay in setting up direct payments which further caused uncertainty and frustration. The Council has agreed to our recommendations to remedy the injustice caused. | By the 3rd Feb <br> 1. Apologise to Mrs $X$ for the failure in being able to find consistent and sufficient care for Miss $Y$ over the last 18 months <br> 2. Pay Mrs $\mathrm{X} £ 1000$ as a symbolic payment to recognise the significant distress caused to her and the time and trouble she has taken to deal with this complaint <br> 3. Pay Mrs $X £ 300$ to acknowledge the delay in setting up direct payments, causing uncertainty and frustration <br> 4. and If it has not already done so, ensure direct payments are securely in place for Miss Y.By the 3rd May <br> 5. Issue reminders to appropriate staff to ensure they consider carrying out a carers assessment if it appears a carer may have any level of need for support <br> 6. And Issue reminders to appropriate staff to ensure guidance and support is given when asked about direct payments and ensure proper consideration is given to these requests. | 1,2,3.5 complete 4 and 6 outstanding |
| LGSCO ref 22013532 <br> Place/ Highways <br> Date of final decision - 18/01/2023 <br> Decision - Closed after initial <br> enquiries: out of jurisdiction | We will not investigate Mr B's complaint that the Council has failed to maintain the road outside his home. This is because it is reasonable for Mr B to apply to court for an order which requires the Council to repair the road | NA | NA |
| ```LGSCO ref 22012911 Place/Planning \& Customer Services Date of final decision - 19/02/2023 Decision - Closed after initial enquiries: suitable remedy completed``` | We will not investigate Mr X's complaint because the Council has already taken satisfactory action to address the matter, and the injustice is not significant enough to justify our continued involvement in the case. | NA | NA |


| Ombudsman Ref Directorate/Service Date of final decision Decision | Summary of Final Decision | Actions and date(s) for completion | Date Actions Complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGSCO ref 22011939 <br> Place/PlanningDate of final decision - 20/01/2023Decision - Closed after initial enquiries - no further action. | We will not investigate this complaint about a failure to consult residents on proposed changes to the highway. There is not enough evidence of fault in the Council's actions and the complainant has not suffered sufficient personal injustice to justify an investigation. | NA | NA |
| HOS ref 202205506 <br> [REF/LZ/YN/Xk/G3/] <br> Place/Housing <br> Date of final decision - 16/02/2023 <br> Decision - No maladministration | In accordance with paragraph 52 of the Housing Ombudsman Scheme, there was no maladministration by the landlord in respect of its response to the resident's reports about ongoing noise nuisance. In accordance with paragraph 52 of the Housing Ombudsman Scheme, there was no maladministration by the landlord in respect of its decision to not allow the resident to move until they have lived in their property for 12 months. | NA | NA |
| LGSCO ref 22010403 <br> Place/Waste <br> Date of final decision - 08/02/2023 <br> Decision - Upheld: fault and injustice | The Council delayed replacing Mr B's garden waste bin and failed to keep him up-to-date with what was happening. An apology and payment to Mr B is satisfactory remedy | By 08/03/2023 <br> 1. the Council should apologise to Mr B; <br> 2. pay him $£ 30$ | ongoing |

